## KELLY, McLaughlin & Foster A Professional Corporation

Attorneys at Law 1700 Atlantic Building 260 Soute Broad Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 10108

> (215) 790-7900 Fax: (215) 965-0675

November 7, 1990

ROSERT G. KELLE JR. 66
WILLIAM C. FOSTER®
CHARLES E. MCCLAFFERTY
JAMES J. ESS. 66
JOSEPE A. DALT
GENARD S. LIPSEL®
JOSEPE R. TEABUCCO
JOSEPE R. CEARE
RAYU G. COSTELLO®
SYEAR M. SMITE®
DAVID E. WEITE®

R. TROMAS MCLACOBLIST TROMAS E. SEUS JENNIFER BERKE TROMAS F. BRECAGLIA® TREADER H. KENNEDY® BRESTA M. FLOCK GREGORY P. VOCI JANY A. ENNIS KATRY Y. DALES MARY ELIZABETE VOSS® SUZABNA E. ELIZABETE VOSS® ROSSERY J. MCDADE®

Suite 108 900 Haddon Avenue Collingswood, New Jeeset 08108 Telephone: (600) 854-0060

> Differ Dial: (215) 790-7920 Our File: 6775

- · PARTHER RESPONSIBLE FOR NEW JERSET CIPICE
- Admitted in New Jersey
   Admitted in New York

ALL ADMITTED IN PROPERTIVANIA

RE: Blosenski Landfill, a/k/a Route 340 Site, West Caln Township, Chester County, PA

Clay Monroe, Esquire (3RC22) Assistant Regional Counsel EPA Region III 841 Chestnut Street Philadelphia, PA 19106

HAND DELIVERED

Dear Clay:

This will serve to respond to your recent oral request that Budd provide you with information concerning the chemical composition of its waste disposed of at the Blosenski Site, and the nature of the waste contained in the drums reflected in DER's photographs taken in approximately 1978. As we discussed, other than the purchasing documents reflecting pick up of generic waste materials (e.g., trash, drums of contaminated paint, contaminated liquids and paint) by Blosenski Disposal Company, which were contained in Budd's response to EPA's 104(e) request dated January 17, 1985, Budd has no specific information concerning the nature of the waste picked up by Blosenski Disposal. Budd conducted no tests or analyses of waste picked up by Blosenski Disposal.

We also note that Budd believed that its drummed waste was disposed of or treated elsewhere. By letter dated April 13, 1984 Blosenski informed Budd that their trash was disposed at Lanchester. (ex. 13, 10/27/87 deposition of Blosenski). Also, by letter dated August 25, 1980, Blosenski informed Budd that all normal trash that he picked up from The Budd Company Trailer Division Eagle Plant went to the Lanchester Landfill and all containers or drums were taken to Delaware Container at Coatesville, PA and disposed by that company.

11,11

Mr. Monroe November 7, 1990 Page 2

All barrels containing old paint or the normal empty barrels, were segregated and hauled away as a separate contract for which Budd paid a premium. (see letter dated 9/6/78 from A. Ambrosch at Budd to Wayne Lynn at PA DER, ex. 11, 10/27/87 deposition of Blosenski and Budd 104(e) response— purchase documents).

The depositions of Blosenski also do not indicate that any Budd wastes ever ended up at the site. As you may recall, Joseph Blosenski testified in his deposition in Bardsley v. Blosenski, dated October 27, 1987, that Budd waste could not have gone to the site, "[a]ccording to this letter, it couldn't have went to the landfill." (p. 95). Blosenski could only speculate about the possibility that he may have hauled some of Budd's materials to the site, if it was prior to the site closing, Q. "When you picked up materials from [Johnson. Sartomer, Liquid Nitrogen Processing and Budd), was the Blosenski landfill one of the sites you took their materials?" "If it was prior to closing, it is possible we did, yes." (p. 106). In his deposition dated December 10, 1987, in Bardsley, Blosenski does not state that any of the materials picked up by Budd ever ended up at the site. In Blosenski's deposition taken by the EPA on September 9, 1987, he was asked whether drums of waste from Budd were ever taken to the site in a full condition and later opened at the site, and he replied "It's However, when asked if he had any specific recollection about any such instances, Blosenski replied "I don't personally, but it is possible. " (p. 66-7).

In all his depositions, Mr. Blosenski never has been able to specifically recall that Budd waste ended up at the site. The closest he comes is speculation. As a result, Budd is unable to provide any information about any waste that may have ended up at the site; however, we are providing information about the possible waste stream at the Trailer Division.

Thus, per your request, this letter will outline various characteristics of the possible materials used by Budd at its Trailer Division.

After lengthy discussions with Budd employees (in 1985, before the plant closed) and searches of files, the best that we can do, at this point, is to provide you with information which we have in our files with respect to chemical products that were purchased at the Trailer Division of The Budd Company. The following list of products was prepared by Charles Moulden from The Budd Company and includes volumes purchased in the years listed; we have no earlier information. The products are listed as follows:

## Methylene Chloride

1976		•		1155	GAL
1977		'		1100	GAL
1978				9100	GAT.

1137

```
Mr. Monroe
November 7, 1990
Page 3
```

1979 1210 GAL 1980 2750 GAL Dubois - Peel Filmite (solid) 1976 3895 GAL 1977 3710 GAL 1978 5300 GAL 1979 2650 GAL 1980 Methyl Ethyl Keytone (cleaning agent) 1979 55 GAL 1980 110 GAL

B&B 162E Solvent

1977 110 GAL 1978 30 GAL 1979 50 GAL 1980 50 GAL

Berlin Perma Mold 1-27

1976 130 GAL 1977 90 GAL 1978 90 GAL 1979 140 GAL 1980 210 GAL

Dubois DYNA - Brite (trailer brightener)
1976 212 GAL

1976 212 GAL 1977 53 GAL

CRC Zinc Renew 12-02 Spray Cans - 12 cans/case

1977 115 Cases 1978 62 Cases 1979 90 Cases 1980 214 Cases

Tectyl 127 CG Alum

1976 5445 GAL 1977 16618 GAL 1978 770 GAL 1980 4620 GAL

Tectyl 127 B Alum

1975 1595 GAL 1976 1595 GAL 1977 440 GAL

Tectyl 127B Black 1975 1880 GAL Mr. Monroe November 7, 1990 Page 4

```
8330 GAL
            1976
                      12038 GAL
            1977
            1978
                      10166 GAL
            1979
                      23166 GAL
 Zinc Chromite Primer - Sentry X251 - YL
                      550 GAL
            1975
            1976
                      165 GAL
                      110 GAL
            1977
 Rustoleum Red #1210TN
                      60 GAL
            1980
 Heurpel Galoosil #1581
            1980
                      735 GAL
 Dupont 93-24067 Enamel
                       65 GAL
            1976
            1977
                       95 GAL
                        5 GAL
            1978
                       55 GAL
            1979
                      110 GAL
            1980
 Desota 576A039 Maislin Blue
                       550 GAL
            1976
                       950 GAL
            1977
            1979
                      1485 GAL
Sentry Alum. Enamel # 4425
            1977
                      1485 GAL
                       880 GAL
            1978
                      8490 GAL
            1979
                      6435 GAL
            1980
 Strathmore Prod. Acrylic X10467 (W32-0008)
           1978
                      125 GAL
                       25 GAL
            1979
                      110 GAL
            1980
 Sentry Black X5159
            1980
                      440 GAL
 Tectyl #127CGW Alum
                       495 GAL
            1975
                      1430 GAL
           1976
                       495 GAL
            1977
                      7598 GAL
            1978
            1979
                      1980 GAL
```

1980

Copper 8 Quinolinolate (several sources) 440 GAL 1980 Sentry Red Enamel #4134 1975 250 GAL 1976 850 GAL 1977. 150 GAL 1610 GAL 1978 550 GAL 1979 550 GAL 1980 Dupont Omaha Orange #93-082H 1980 110 GAL Sentry White #5136 1975 950 GAL 1976 400 GAL 250 GAL 1977 165 GAL 1978 550 GAL 1979 110 GAL 1980 Sentry Grey #4810 2860 GAL **1976**. 1977 1320 GAL 750 GAL 1980 Toluene (used as a paint thinner) 1975 500 GAL 1976 3200 GAL 6600 GAL 1977 3300 GAL 1978 1979 5500 GAL 2550 GAL 1980 90 WT Gear Oil (Arco, Sunoco) = 1975 220 GAL 1550 GAL 1976 3100 GAL 1977 1978 2000 GAL 2000 GAL 1979 Deoxidine #624 (Amchem) 1977 238 GAL 156 GAL 1978 1979 104 GAL 1980 156 GAL Dubois ILC - 11- LT

1975

1650 GAL

Mr. Monroe November 7, 1990

Page 5

Mr. Monroe November 7, 1990 Page 6

1976		6500	GAL
1977		5500	GAL
1978		7700	GAL
1979	• (	2475	GAL
1980		550	GAL

## Cellosolve Solvent

1975		 110	GAL
1977		- 55	GAL
1978	$\chi_{i}^{i}$	110	GAL

An inter office memo dated August 25, 1981 from A.J. Casamassa to J.E. Trego at Budd, enclosed for your information, listed the following materials that were used at the Budd Trailer Division plant:

- liquid paint:
- isocyanate (Foam Material A);
- resin (foam material B);
- toluene or other solvents;
- deoxidine;
- oil;
- methylene chloride;
- mixture of methylene chloride, isocyanate and resin;
- DOP.

A letter dated August 12, 1980 from Budd to C. Moore, enclosed for your information, indicates yearly amounts, for an unspecified year, used at the Budd Trailer Division for the following substances:

- toluene: 6000 gallons;
- freon: 100,000 lbs
- paint: 34,000 gallons
- isocyanate resin: 740,000 lbs;
- methylene chloride: 1200 gallons;
- DOP: 55 gallons

Please note that the above letter and memorandum were prepared long after investigations have shown that the landfill was closed. Further, even if the landfill was still open at the time the above letter and memorandum were prepared, Budd cannot say whether any of the materials indicated therein ever ended up at the site.

It must be emphasized that Budd's Trailer Division Plant practice was to use up the raw materials purchased to the extent possible. Thus, Budd cannot say which of the above products, if any, were picked up by Mr. Blosenski. I have provided all MSDS and product information sheets that Budd had for the substances on the above list.

Based upon my notes and our recent conversation regarding the

Mr. Monroe November 7, 1990 Page 7

photographs of alleged Budd drums at the site, we have tried to find information on "Tectyl" and, specifically, "Tectyl Tri-X." I enclose all product information sheets for various "Tectyl" products; however, we have no information on a product called "Tectyl Tri-X." Moreover, we believe that the drums photographed by the DER in 1978 were removed from the site. According to the RI/FS, during the winter of 1982, 50-60 drums were removed from the site. DER records appear to suggest that this drum removal contained the alleged Budd drums that were allegedly photographed at the site. Also, the drums have not been nore recently observed at the site.

Currently, we are in the process of trying to obtain MSDS sheets for the "Tectyl" products from the manufacturer, Ashland. The manufacturer has been uncooperative with our efforts due to the fact that an attorney, rather than a company, was asking for the MSDSs. Our client has contacted the manufacturer, Ashland, directly and Ashland has promised to send our client MSDS sheets for various Tectyl products within a week. We will forward the additional MSDS sheets to you when received.

I look forward to discussing this with you in the future.

Very truly yours,

KELLY, MCLAUGHLIN & FOSTER

BY: Jennifer Berke

JB/mr cc: Richard O. Lemke